

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE Robert P. Carmichael 10547-006/HRH 4289 10/086,859 03/04/2002 **EXAMINER** 1059 7590 07/26/2004 **BERESKIN AND PARR** WILSON, JOHN J SCOTIA PLAZA PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 40 KING STREET WEST-SUITE 4000 BOX 401 TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2 3732 **CANADA**

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/086,859	CARMICHAEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John J. Wilson	3732
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 April 2004</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-25 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 8-25 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lauks (4998881). Lauks shows a drill alignment arm 6 having a pin 8 and a stent 5 having a barrel 27. The intended location of the arm with respect to the drill axis and a desired location is merely intended use of the separate elements together which is given no patentable weight. As to claim 2, barrel 27 includes a top surface that stops sleeve 3 to provide a stop surface. As to claim 3, see drill 1. As to claim 4, see drill depth control surface at 18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lauks (4998881) in view of Cascione et al (5800168). Lauks shows the structure as described

above, however, does not show a plurality of drill. Cascione teaches using a plurality of drills, column 3, lines 25-30. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Lauks to include a plurality of drills as shown by Cascione in order to drill the hole in stages to better drill in bone.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lauks (4998881) in view of Cascione et al (5800168) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Pompa. Lauks further teaches drilling a hole the size of the implant, however, does not show an implant. Pompa shows a implant 23', 24', Fig. 6. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include an implant as shown by Pompa in order to carry out the method of Lauks.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-25 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 6, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claim 1 is directed to a kit which is a list of separate elements which are intended to be used together. The prior art shows the list of actual claimed structure, the intended use of this structure together is not given patentable weight.

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Wilson at telephone number (703) 308-2699.

> Shof William ∡ohn J. Wilson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

iiw

July 23, 2004

Fax (703) 872-9306

Work Schedule: Monday through Friday, Flex Time